UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/516,413	12/02/2004	Matthias Octtle	47684	2838
1609 7590 10/04/2007 ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON,, DC 20036			HOWELL, DANIEL W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
				*
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

• ⁷¹ ;		•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/516,413	OETTLE, MATTHIAS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Daniel W. Howell	3722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	=•				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. '				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
•		•			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-2-04</u> .	6)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 3722

1. Given a tool shank of a known cross section, it is well within the abilities of one skilled in the art to shape the collet jaws in a manner which will mate with and securely grip that known tool shank cross section. Note especially figures 8-10 and the corresponding discussion on page 2 of Lewthwaite, but see also the configurations of Graham, Knowles, Wadworth, Harrist, and Leland.

- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. On line 1 of claim 1, the phrase "in particular in the form of a drill rod" does not make it clear if the device is actually a drill rod or not.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dechnik (1075699) in view of GB 2,228,695. Dechnik show a drill chuck having a shank 5 and an opposite seat having a slot 7 which forms two elastic support/adjustment areas 6. An internally threaded sleeve/fastening component 19 is rotated in order to flex the support/adjustment areas in order to grip or release tool shank 10. Note the cross section of the tool shank in figure 3. It is well known by those skilled in the art to provide collet chucks with various gripping shapes in order to accommodate shanks of a different shapes. Figures 1, 4, and 5 of GB '695 show a cutting tool 12 having a shank/supporting component with convergent abutment surfaces 18 and

Application/Control Number: 10/516,413

Art Unit: 3722

an opposed contact surface 15. It is considered to have been obvious to have provided the gripping surfaces of Dechnik of a shape which will match the shank of the GB '695 device in order to adapt it to grip a different shape.

- 5. Claims 2-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Howell, whose telephone number is 571-272-4478. The examiner's office hours are typically about 10 am until 6:30 pm, Monday through Friday. The examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter, may be reached at 571-272-4475.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at FAX number to 571-273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify Examiner Daniel Howell of Art Unit 3722 at the top of your cover sheet.

Daniel W. Howell Primary Examiner Art Unit 3722